

PHYSICAL AND MONTE CARLOS SIMULATION OF CONTINUOUS TIME MODEL OF SICKLE CELL ANAEMIA

BY

Akanbi Olumuyiwa O, Agbolade Olumuyiwa A, Shomoye Idowu A.

Department of Mathematics & Statistics

Federal Polytechnic Iaro, Ogun State.

Email: olumuyiwaaakanbi@yahoo.com

Abstract

Sickle Cell Disease (SCD) is a potentially devastating condition that is caused by an autosomal recessive inherited hemoglobinopathy which results in the vaso-occlusive phenomena and hemolysis. Sickle Cell anaemia is the most common form of Sickle Cell Disease. As such, this paper provides an insight on the mathematical transmission dynamics of Sickle Cell Anaemia and develops a physical realistic model. A female dominant renewal equation of birth dynamics was developed for both populations with genotype screening and without genotype screening. By Hardy-Weinberg equation we obtained the frequency of different genotype groups for the physical simulation. Thereafter, Monte Carlos simulation techniques was carried out to justify our position on the renewal equation. We observed that accessible curative measure still stands the only solution to the menace of Sickle Cell Anaemia in our society.

Introduction

Individual genotype **AA, AS, SS, SC** or **AC** differs amongst the world's population^[13]. Of interest however, is the fact that genetic mechanism on morphogenetic traits is still not clearly understood as it is seen to occur with variable frequency in different populations and thus useful in evaluating and analyzing evolutionary forces and classification.^[4] Meanwhile, marked inter-individual variability in genetic and non-genetic factors has been said to possess the ability to influence the disposition of many endobiotics and xenobiotic affecting health.^[11]

Sickle Cell Anaemia which is a genetically transmitted disease is caused by a defective allele (mutant form) of the gene coding for a sub unit of the haemoglobin protein. The Sickle haemoglobin tends to precipitate or “clump together” within the red blood cells after releasing its oxygen. If the clumping is extensive the red blood cell assumes an abnormal sickle shape. These sickle red blood cells plug the blood vessels thus preventing normal red blood cell passage and consequently depriving the tissue of needed oxygen.

Each person has two copies of the gene that determines whether that person has Sickle Cell Anaemia. If both copies are “normal alleles” then only normal haemoglobin is produced **AA**. If one of the two alleles is defective then that person has a mixture of normal and Sickle haemoglobin: a condition known as Sickle Cell trait “**AS**” (Carrier). If both alleles are defective, essentially only sickle haemoglobin is made and the person has Sickle Cell Anaemia “**SS**”.

The first case of **SCA** was reported in 1910 on a Jamaican student in the USA.^[7] The term **SCA** is a term first used by Mason in 1922 to describe the homozygous state.^[14] In 1949, Neel illustrated that **SCA** was transmitted as a recessive gene ‘**S**’. But it is well known by scientist now that the gene is neither dominant nor recessive but of intermediate penetrance.

The allele causing Sickle Cell Amaemia is found most often in people of African ancestry. It was traced to one family in Ghana (krobo people) in 1670. The “**S**” gene is found mainly where malaria is endemic.^[9] It also occurs in people of Mediterranean, Arab, East India, South and Central American ancestry. From the world population of about 7,058,157,073^[20] (No of people officially counted). 5% of world population lives with SCD.^[11] mathematically, about 352,907,854 people have haemoglobin disorder “officially counted” while every year 300,000 infants are born with SCD, including 200,000 cases in Africa.^[6] Nigeria of about 150 million population with growth rate of 3.2% has prevailing rate of 150,000 offspring per year. Nigeria by the virtue of her population ranks first as a **SCA** endemic country in Africa with annual infant deaths totally around 100,000, 8% of infant

mortality. This alarming infant death related to **SCA** made the authors to carryout mathematical transmission dynamics and its simulations.

Literature Review

Mathematical models of heredity are largely based on one-locus, two allele gene populations, where little or no attempt is made to consider the dynamics of the population and the analysis is somehow probabilistic, accounting of genotype composition of a diploid population. Nevertheless, success approaches have been developed for continuous-time model that laid more emphasis on the dynamics of population of SCA^[19]. K. H. Rosen 1983 presented a mathematical model for polygamous system, where he introduced the interaction function (KMF) where M, F, were the male & female population sizes. A new set of functional differential equations modeling heterosexual population dynamics where K was called the polygamy factor was developed by C.O.A Sowunmi in 1993^[15]. Here he proved that population renewal is the product of an interaction between the reproductive males and non-gestating reproductive females. C.O.A. Sowunmi and J. M. Tchuente were the first to use the general interaction function F_{ij} ^[9] where F_{ij} contributes the major mathematical background for the formulation of our birth renewal equation. J.M Tchuente has done a lot in the dynamics of SCA. He is the pioneer mathematician who made use of differential equation to model the disease (SCA). J.M Tchuente (2000) demonstrated the dynamical behaviour of SCA by a set of first-order non-linear partial differential equation. He also developed the birth renewal equation the same year. General modeling approach which considered the selective advantage of Haemoglobin (**S**) over haemoglobin (**HbA**) was introduced by J. M Tchuente. The age and character-dependent population dynamics model of genetically transmitted diseases where standard techniques of functional analysis were employed to further simplify and solve the basic equations implicitly in special cases of **SCA** (J. M. Tchuente 2005) was introduced. Another satisfactory progress on **SCA** was the adequate mathematical picture of pattern of inheritance of the allele “**SS**”. Several models had provided solution to the following:

- How well do bounds capture behavioural features such as long term persistence of the diseases?.
- In which parameter does the model perform best and how relevant are they to the real life situation?.

Migration which is an important demographic parameter has been considered in terms of modeling SCA and polygamy (J. M. Tchuente 2006). Another beauty of population dynamics of the Genetically Transmitted Disease (SCA) which takes age, mating behaviour and a physiological factor into account was proposed in the Theoretical population Dynamics Model of a Genetically Transmitted Disease (SCA) J. M. Tchuente 2006.

Chelsea Liddell et al (2011) made it critically clear with his mathematical model of sickle cell genome frequency in response to selective pressure from malaria that selection pressure for the carrier gene in the presence of increasing malaria death for either adult or children has higher frequencies of the gene as well as shortened time to reach these frequencies.

Though, with a lot of criticism prices theorem was used as a propagator of the dynamics of the SCA and the prove of the dynamical sufficiency of the model were exercised Vanreelen et al 2012.

Recently, differential impact of sickle cell trait on symptomatic and asymptomatic malaria was introduced where a decreased frequency of S-gene may eventually increase the overall prevalence of both symptomatic and asymptomatic malaria. Hence, the control of symptomatic malaria might result in evolutionary repercussion, despite short-term epidemic logical benefits Eunha Shim et al (2013).

Modeling background

A population is often regarded as a group of individuals that can cross (mate) and give birth to offspring (neonates). Human population differs with respect to their genotype, age, Rhesus factor, physiology etc. Hence they can be classified according to their distinguishable differences. The following assumptions are taken into consideration:

- i The entire population has an age structure that spans through $(0, L]$ where L is the life span.
- ii A genotype structure composed of three distinct genetic subgroups is duly imposed on the population i.e. normal **AA**, carriers **AS**, and sickles **SS**.
- iii Monogamy form of family setting is also assumed.
- iv The spread of the population is spatially homogeneous.
- v Variables $x, y \in \mathbb{R}_+$ are the independent variables age and time respectively.

Consider below:

Genotype	Sex		Phenotype
	Females	Males	
AA	f_1	m_1	Normal
AS	f_2	m_2	Carriers
SS	f_3	m_3	Sicklers

Suffixes $i = 1, j = 2, k = 3$ corresponding to:

$$\mathbf{AA}: = 1$$

$$\mathbf{AS}: = 2$$

$$\mathbf{SS}: = 3 \text{ respectively}$$

$f_i(x, y) \geq 0$ represents the population density of females in group i with age x at time y .

$m_i(x, y) \geq 0$ represents the population density of males in group i with age x at time y .

$F_{ij} \{[m_i(x,y); f_j(x^l,y)], x, x^l, y\}$ – function governing the interaction between males of class ‘ i ’ males and females of class ‘ j ’.

δ_{ij}^k - Is the probability of getting a neomate of class ‘ k ’ from mating between class ‘ i ’ males and class ‘ j ’ females.

Γ – The probability of acquiring the S gene from any of the parents.

f_i^j – Number of females of class ‘ i ’ interacting with class ‘ j ’ males (Referred to as number of couples) in a monogamous setting.

(a) $F_{ij} [(f_i; m_1, m_2, m_3, \alpha, \alpha^l, y)]$. In this case, every females at reproductive age has just a life partner and vice-versa.

(b) $F_{ij} [(m_i; f_1, f_2, f_3, \alpha^l, \alpha, y)]$. This means some males of class ‘ i ’ interact with normal females f_1 , others with females carriers f_2 , and the remaining with sicklers f_3 . In this case polygamy may arise. In the sequel we shall refer only to the former.

We cannot affirm with certainty that a woman aged x will marry a man aged x^l . This is a problem that takes into cognizance numerous factors that cannot be controlled mathematically. We have therefore modified the interaction function F_{ij} to suit our case where the population is subdivided into three groups; namely, **AA**, **AS** and **SS**. Our two-sex mixing function F_{ij} can be defined as:

$$F_{ij} = F_{ij} \{[f_i(\alpha, y); m_j(\alpha^l, y)]; \alpha, \alpha^l, y\}.$$

Where F_{ij} is a positive real-valued function and has compact support with respect to (α, α^l) .

The reproductive age of females spans the interval $[w_{f_r}, w_{f_s}]$ and $[w_{m_r}, w_{m_s}]$ for males.

Hence;

$$\text{Supp } F_{ij} \leq [w_{f_r}, w_{f_s}] \times [w_{m_r}, w_{m_s}]$$

Results of the Different mating patterns

The techniques employed to derive the results of mating placed more emphasis on the individuals than the genetics.

The possible outcome of the interactions could produce^[2]

Normal individuals:

$$F_{11} [(f_1; m_1), \alpha, \alpha^l, y]$$

$$F_{12} [(f_1; m_2), \alpha, \alpha^l, y]$$

$$F_{22} [(f_2; m_2), \alpha, \alpha^l, y]$$

Carriers:

$$F_{12} [(f_1; m_2), \alpha, \alpha^l, y]$$

$$F_{13} [(f_1; m_3), \alpha, \alpha^l, y]$$

$$F_{22} [(f_2; m_2), \alpha, \alpha^l, y]$$

$$F_{23} [(f_2; m_3), \alpha, \alpha^l, y]$$

Sicklers:

$$F_{22} [(f_2; m_2), \alpha, \alpha^l, y]$$

$$F_{23} [(f_2; m_3), \alpha, \alpha^l, y]$$

$$F_{33} [(f_3; m_3), \alpha, \alpha^l, y]$$

The probabilities of acquiring the gene from either parents are the same, this point is worth noting, because this is what implies $F_{ij} = F_{ji}$, since

Derivation of birth equation:

For $x \in (0, L]$ $0 \leq \delta_{ij}^k \leq 1$ and the compactness of the support of F_{ij} ; the birth equations are given below:

$$B_1(y) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (\delta_{11}^{(1)} F_{11} + \delta_{12}^{(1)} F_{12} + \delta_{22}^{(1)} F_{22}) d\alpha d\alpha^l \dots\dots\dots (i)$$

$$B_2(y) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (\delta_{12}^{(2)} F_{12} + \delta_{13}^{(2)} F_{13} + \delta_{22}^{(2)} F_{22} + \delta_{23}^{(2)} F_{23}) d\alpha d\alpha^l \dots\dots\dots (ii)$$

$$B_3(y) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (\delta_{22}^{(3)} F_{22} + \delta_{23}^{(3)} F_{23} + \delta_{33}^{(3)} F_{33}) d\alpha d\alpha^l \dots\dots\dots (iii)$$

And

$$\beta = \sum_{n=1}^3 \mathcal{B}_n(y)$$

Properties of $\mathcal{B}_n(y)$

1. $\mathcal{B}_n(y)$ is well defined and non negative $F_{ij} > 0$. Human beings are a good example of birth flow population in which birth occur continuously over the time interval.
2. $\mathcal{B}_n(y)$ is a first degree homogeneous function of its arguments i.e $\mathcal{B}_n(KF_{ij}(y)) = K\mathcal{B}_n F_{ij}(y)$.
3. $\mathcal{B}_n(y) = 0$ for any population in which either male or female is absent.

Now if genotype screening is duly imposed on the model (i.e the relative activities between AS x AS, AS x SS, and SS x SS become zero). Hence, we have the birth equation as given below:

$$\hat{B}_1(y) = \int_0^\alpha \int_0^\alpha (\delta_{11}^{(1)} F_{11} + \delta_{12}^{(1)} F_{12}) d\alpha d\alpha^1 < B_1(y) \dots \dots \dots (iv)$$

$$\hat{B}_2(y) = \int_0^\alpha \int_0^\alpha (\delta_{12}^{(2)} F_{12} + \delta_{13}^{(2)} F_{13}) d\alpha d\alpha^1 < B_2(y) \dots \dots \dots (v)$$

$$\hat{B}_3 = 0 \dots \dots \dots (vi)$$

From (iv), (v) and (vi) there will be no birth of sicklers, there is drastical decline in the birth equation and there is abundant of **AS** in the population.

Physical simulation of the model

This will help to clarify the biological process behind the mathematical model. To reduce the complexity of the generation gene pool, two alleles namely HbA (normal allele) and HbS (sickle cell allele) haemoglobin of males and non gestating reproductive females were only taken into account.

We used beads of different colours to represent alleles. The births are simulated by randomly drawing beads from the gene pool. For each generation number of individuals with each of three possible allele combination (namely **AA**, **AS**, **SS** are obtained $F_{ij} = F_{ji}$ i.e. AS and SA are indistinguishable.) were drawn

According to Adeyinka Falusi (Haematologist) the President Sickle Cell Hope Alive Foundation (SCHAF) January, 2014 and Segun Ashimolowo (Haematologist) June, 2014.

“Nigeria has about 5 million people with SS genotype, 40 million with AS genotype and about 66-72% with AA genotype”^[1]. Mathematically, Nigeria accounts for $\frac{3}{4}$ of people living with SCD globally.

Hence the generation gene pool constitutes alleles which replicates the genotypic population of Nigeria. The population size of the gene pool is taken to be 3136 alleles. This represents the initial genetic make up of a reproductive non-gestating female and male adults. Hence, in the gene pool we have 2640 **A** alleles and 496 **S** alleles. That is, 1568 reproductive couples are considered.

Let P be the frequency of the allele “**A**” in the population and let q be the frequency of the allele “**S**” in the population. By Hardy-Weinberg equation $p^2 + 2pq + q^2$ we obtained the frequency of each generation.

Generation / trial	AA		AS		SS	
	NO.	Frequency	NO.	Frequency	NO.	Frequency
1	1111	69%	412	28%	45	3%
2	1073	75%	468	23%	27	2%
3	1021	70%	504	27%	43	3%
4	1071	68%	450	29%	47	3%
5	1112	70%	415	27%	41	3%
6	1116	70%	410	27%	42	3%
7	1113	69%	411	28%	44	3%

Domain = $\{P: 0 \leq P \leq 1\}$ and Range = $\{B: 0 \leq B \leq 1568\}$

From the above table, we realized that an average of 70% neonates will be **AA**, 27% **AS** and 3% **SS**.

Simulation by Monte Carlos Technique

The results of the physical simulation model created the basis for the application of Monte Carlos Technique.

We simulated for number of births of different genotypes namely **AA**, **AS** and **SS**. Considering 156 couples which is about 10% of the couples under consideration in physical simulation model (strictly under monogamy setting). Hence, the next 156

neonates were simulated for seven generations/trials, while the random numbers were generated by SPP (Smith's Statistical Package).

Genotype	Probability	Cumulative Probability	Tag –Numbers
AA	0.69	0.69	0-68
AS	0.28	0.97	69-96
SS	0.03	1.00	97 -

Couple's Number	Random Numbers							Genotype						
	1st Gen/ trial	2nd Gen/ trial	3rd Gen/ trial	4th Gen/ trial	5th Gen/ trial	6th Gen/ trial	7th Gen/ trial	1st Gen/ trial	2nd Gen/ trial	3rd Gen/ trial	4th Gen/ trial	5th Gen/ trial	6th Gen/ trial	7th Gen/ trial
1.	59	42	4	97	70	42	3	AA	AA	AA	SS	AS	AA	AA
2.	80	66	98	82	88	63	82	AS	AA	SS	AS	AS	AA	AS
3.	2	98	3	74	36	56	29	AA	SS	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA
4.	7	43	66	12	29	49	15	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
5.	52	0	24	74	94	3	31	AA	AA	AA	AS	AS	AA	AA
6.	73	37	49	10	58	46	77	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS
7.	49	31	11	54	65	35	48	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
8.	89	64	99	7	44	68	8	AS	AA	SS	AA	AA	AA	AA
9.	76	75	45	63	86	44	70	AS	AS	AA	AA	AS	AA	AS
10.	54	90	16	13	0	8	77	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS
11.	94	50	17	64	6	86	8	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA
12.	92	94	35	68	29	67	30	AS	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
13.	40	47	79	70	26	78	36	AA	AA	AS	AS	AA	AS	AA
14.	95	42	31	57	44	53	11	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
15.	16	48	53	35	49	70	57	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA

16.	14	36	5	48	11	10	79	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS
17.	26	20	39	24	5	8	15	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
18.	19	80	71	40	80	7	89	AA	AS	AS	AA	AS	AA	AS
19.	54	0	34	32	30	88	19	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA
20.	28	76	71	1	43	9	56	AA	AS	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA
21.	66	10	86	25	90	33	27	AA	AA	AS	AA	AS	AA	AA
22.	73	77	69	65	21	77	13	AS	AS	AS	AA	AA	AS	AA
23.	67	87	88	29	87	20	69	AA	AS	AS	AA	AS	AA	AS
24.	8	66	39	49	26	9	88	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS
25.	16	44	54	82	29	35	70	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA	AS
26.	82	35	70	6	63	2	77	AS	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA	AS
27.	59	82	30	64	33	67	93	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS
28.	34	70	5	45	76	23	67	AA	AS	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA
29.	38	82	44	38	10	55	21	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
30.	61	17	34	43	13	16	8	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
31.	93	93	31	99	76	23	29	AS	AS	AA	SS	AS	AA	AA
32.	0	23	39	47	47	61	71	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS
33.	61	90	98	31	37	82	12	AA	AS	SS	AA	AA	AS	AA
34.	3	27	32	23	11	23	97	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS
35.	62	92	75	30	85	52	85	AA	AS	AS	AA	AS	AA	AS
36.	35	40	9	23	56	81	19	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA
37.	17	9	8	62	32	56	69	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS
38.	46	6	59	25	18	90	4	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA
39.	25	8	95	20	31	6	12	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA
40.	56	36	70	76	54	0	40	AA	AA	AS	AS	AA	AA	AA

41.	38	80	91	73	44	48	46	AA	AS	AS	AS	AA	AA	AA
42.	14	95	9	28	83	0	43	AA	AS	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA
43.	88	98	29	49	76	75	10	AS	SS	AA	AA	AS	AS	AA
44.	62	82	87	44	78	59	53	AA	AS	AS	AA	AS	AA	AA
45.	65	18	56	57	4	64	48	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
46.	77	63	67	69	49	44	23	AS	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA
47.	88	81	91	37	61	35	56	AS	AS	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA
48.	38	21	1	91	4	69	91	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA	AS	AS
49.	67	60	70	13	43	9	86	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA	AS
50.	24	45	73	89	80	51	76	AA	AA	AS	AS	AS	AA	AS
51.	33	60	40	0	37	71	23	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA
52.	0	11	64	68	70	50	93	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA	AS
53.	88	7	7	46	99	18	97	AS	AA	AA	AA	SS	AA	SS
54.	78	64	35	34	5	71	86	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS	AS
55.	94	68	47	60	93	98	19	AS	AA	AA	AA	AS	SS	AA
56.	68	57	42	77	1	53	24	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA
57.	39	87	38	75	28	82	31	AA	AS	AA	AS	AA	AS	AA
58.	29	72	68	91	85	26	44	AA	AS	AA	AS	AS	AA	AA
59.	39	5	59	52	51	4	34	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
60.	49	2	27	86	6	12	78	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA	AS
61.	66	63	25	52	90	72	65	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS	AS	AA
62.	63	16	59	82	93	46	72	AA	AA	AA	AS	AS	AA	AS
63.	94	31	22	97	78	47	75	AS	AA	AA	SS	AS	AA	AS
64.	11	79	19	89	26	88	20	AA	AS	AA	AS	AA	AS	AA
65.	5	47	63	11	28	85	65	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA

66.	46	71	31	28	27	59	10	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
67.	81	25	20	79	93	50	66	AS	AA	AA	AS	AS	AA	AA
68.	18	10	9	32	46	13	66	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
69.	5	78	67	94	9	54	74	AA	AS	AA	AS	AA	AA	AS
70.	5	3	8	69	12	39	97	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA	SS
71.	27	9	71	91	76	39	39	AA	AA	AS	AS	AS	AA	AA
72.	94	75	41	96	80	37	86	AS	AS	AA	AS	AS	AA	AS
73.	42	54	69	14	64	42	27	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA
74.	58	37	74	72	85	77	15	AA	AA	AS	AS	AS	AS	AA
75.	87	18	21	26	49	46	84	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS
76.	28	57	16	1	82	71	90	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS	AS	AS
77.	47	86	5	98	55	48	54	AA	AS	AA	SS	AA	AA	AA
78.	81	38	25	41	86	18	24	AS	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA
79.	89	11	84	96	65	95	63	AS	AA	AS	AS	AA	AS	AA
80.	37	13	42	6	29	81	23	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA
81.	20	51	82	90	94	77	46	AA	AA	AS	AS	AS	AS	AA
82.	46	70	41	85	30	71	74	AA	AS	AA	AS	AA	AS	AS
83.	14	69	61	96	86	61	48	AA	AS	AA	AS	AS	AA	AA
84.	70	90	69	80	99	52	65	AS	AS	AS	AS	SS	AA	AA
85.	85	78	46	96	5	69	97	AS	AS	AA	AS	AA	AS	SS
86.	93	15	7	70	11	40	61	AS	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA
87.	2	57	38	73	33	31	47	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA
88.	86	65	23	69	76	66	27	AS	AA	AA	AS	AS	AA	AA
89.	36	95	85	58	91	94	6	AA	AS	AS	AA	AS	AS	AA
90.	74	54	33	64	54	11	15	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA

91.	64	39	37	84	36	69	64	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA	AS	AA
92.	2	89	12	3	57	17	83	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS
93.	86	36	53	88	1	28	43	AS	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA
94.	47	84	35	57	0	20	62	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
95.	14	14	71	65	3	15	15	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA
96.	28	58	42	2	69	67	33	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA
97.	99	93	31	75	21	58	63	SS	AS	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA
98.	88	52	22	43	88	11	48	AS	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA
99.	30	86	71	38	10	26	35	AA	AS	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA
100.	55	11	77	11	36	47	32	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA
101.	68	30	71	63	20	84	76	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA	AS	AS
102.	8	71	52	92	91	8	8	AA	AS	AA	AS	AS	AA	AA
103.	89	82	40	86	29	74	69	AS	AS	AA	AS	AA	AS	AS
104.	16	88	75	11	98	83	51	AA	AS	AS	AA	SS	AS	AA
105.	90	76	78	70	45	68	38	AS	AS	AS	AS	AA	AS	AA
106.	29	83	83	57	80	65	78	AA	AS	AS	AA	AS	AA	AS
107.	44	56	91	86	21	75	38	AA	AA	AS	AS	AA	AS	AA
108.	86	76	10	28	71	82	29	AS	AS	AA	AA	AS	AS	AA
109.	60	98	90	0	10	22	12	AA	SS	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA
110.	21	87	71	32	39	16	86	AA	AS	AS	AA	AA	AA	AS
111.	37	39	92	42	12	55	11	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA
112.	80	0	41	9	90	20	13	AS	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA
113.	22	90	42	24	38	52	53	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
114.	96	46	63	22	98	26	65	AS	AA	AA	AA	SS	AA	AA
115.	69	36	19	10	92	83	28	AS	AA	AA	AA	AS	AS	AA

116.	35	15	39	13	74	23	63	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA
117.	5	66	43	47	40	98	33	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	SS	AA
118.	11	47	93	52	56	55	27	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA
119.	56	80	72	86	54	15	58	AA	AS	AS	AS	AA	AA	AA
120.	74	19	12	57	17	19	67	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
121.	64	66	39	95	63	26	42	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA
122.	93	93	92	8	33	68	20	AS	AS	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA
123.	46	22	36	81	59	35	59	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA
124.	78	56	17	31	35	92	53	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA
125.	60	78	6	96	61	44	77	AA	AS	AA	AS	AA	AA	AS
126.	31	9	60	14	84	70	34	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS	AS	AA
127.	84	37	79	68	64	67	62	AS	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA
128.	76	49	35	11	43	21	31	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
129.	29	81	82	39	75	30	42	AA	AS	AS	AA	AS	AA	AA
130.	37	26	21	89	35	83	86	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA	AS	AS
131.	10	79	13	75	48	20	12	AA	AS	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA
132.	20	4	26	75	50	9	15	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA
133.	89	50	71	75	4	25	97	AS	AA	AS	AS	AA	AA	SS
134.	69	29	20	47	46	46	19	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
135.	63	83	76	94	39	51	51	AA	AS	AS	AS	AA	AA	AA
136.	96	67	62	34	9	43	27	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
137.	44	66	94	47	18	20	64	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA
138.	86	11	59	21	2	43	33	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
139.	55	87	69	97	48	48	82	AA	AS	AS	AS	AA	AA	AS
140.	17	88	41	92	1	37	45	AA	AS	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA

141.	4	73	62	68	45	72	82	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA	AS	AS
142.	60	71	84	19	52	98	70	AA	AS	AS	AA	AA	SS	AS
143.	9	53	80	87	43	45	94	AA	AA	AS	AS	AA	AA	AS
144.	8	83	56	82	42	39	45	AA	AS	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA
145.	74	62	68	52	14	76	87	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS	AS
146.	99	3	72	42	40	60	40	SS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
147.	21	53	48	29	38	50	51	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
148.	54	2	10	4	27	35	14	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
149.	12	59	3	72	3	54	39	AA	AA	AA	AS	AA	AA	AA
150.	66	60	86	86	25	5	85	AA	AA	AS	AS	AA	AA	AS
151.	88	68	25	27	22	39	41	AS	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
152.	66	17	16	63	49	57	56	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA
153.	29	75	25	96	98	85	55	AA	AS	AA	AS	SS	AS	AS
154.	82	77	87	83	4	15	99	AS	AS	AS	AS	AA	AA	SS
155.	7	45	24	1	16	89	96	AA	AA	AA	AA	AA	AS	AS
156.	38	73	24	4	71	6	85	AA	AS	AA	AA	AS	AA	AS

Result of birth from different mating

Genotype	No of Birth						
	1 st gen./trial	2 nd gen./trial	3 rd gen./trial	4 th gen./trial	5 th gen./trial	6 th gen./trial	7 th gen./trial
AA	107	98	106	97	110	114	107
AS	47	55	47	55	41	39	43
SS	2	3	3	4	5	3	6

CONCLUSION

From the population size of the gene pool of 3136 alleles with 2640 **A** alleles and 496 **S** alleles.

The emergence of sicklers (**SS**) still stands the chance of average of 3% for seven trials. The implication of this is that there is great possibility for the population of **SS** to increase over time.

Applying Monte Carlos techniques where 156 births were simulated for seven trials. We critically observed that the population of sicklers (**SS**) fluctuates between 2,3,4,5 and later jumped to 6 in the 7th trial which is about 4% of neonates simulated.

The consequence of this is that the population of sicklers is most likely to increase over time in this technological advancement era. Therefore a curative measure is paramount.

Considering the integral equations derived in equation (iv), (v) and (vi). We observed that there will be no birth of sicklers but meanwhile, there will be abundant of **AS** and **SS** in the population that will not likely take celibacy as a way of life. Hence the relative activities between **AS & AS**, **AS & SS** will no longer be zero.

Though bone marrow transplants is a milestone as a curative measure to SCA. But it is too expensive with an average cost of N8m – N6m which is not affordable for a common Nigerian hence we suggest that a cheaper curative measure should still stands an area of research that must not be neglected.

REFERENCES

- Adeyinka Falusi Jan 2014: "Daily Post Nigeria online Newspaper".
- Akanbi O.O 2006: "Discrete and continuous-time models of the genetic structure of Sickle-Cell Anaemia." M.Sc Thesis University of Ibadan.
- Chelsea Liddell, Nicci Owusu-Brackett, Dorothy Wallace September 22, 2011: "A mathematical model of Sickle cell genome frequency in response to selective pressure from malaria. Darmouth College, Hanover, NH, U.S.A.
- Das B, Sengupta. S (2003): A note on some Morphogenetics variables among the Sonowal Kacharis of Assam. *Antropologist* 5(3): 211-212.
- Eunha Shim, Zhilan Feng and Carlos Castillo-Chavez Dec 12, 2013: "Differential impact of Sickle Cell Trait on Symptomatic and Asymptomatic malaria". *Math Biosci Eng*; 9(4);877-898.
- Fifty –ninth World Health Assembly Provisional Agenda item 11.4, 24th April 2006.
- Herrick J.B. (1910): Peculiar Elongated and sickle shaped red corpuscles in a case of severe anaemia. *Arch. Int med* 6: 516-518.
- Ines Paule, Hind Sassi et al (2011). Population pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics of hydroxyurea in Sickle Cell Anaemia, patients a basis for optimizing the dosing regimen.
- Kathleen A. Neville, Julie A panepinto 21-25 march 2011: pharmacotherapy of SCD: 18th expert committee on the selection and use of essential medicines.
- Katrina Hui etal 2013: "Understanding the mechanisms of sickle cell disease by simulation with a discrete particle model. *Computational Science and Discovery*.
- Lamba J. K, et al (2002): Common allelic variants of cytochrome P4503A4 and their prevalence in different populations. *Pharmacogenetics* 12:121-132
- Mathijs Van Veelen et al. 2012: "Group selection and inclusive fitness are not equivalent.
- Seeley RR, Stephens T.D, Tate P (1998): *Anatomy and physiology* 4th edition. The McGraw Hill Companies, Inc. USA Pp 1098.
- Sergeant GR 1985: *Sickle Cell Disease* Oxford University Press, London pp 1-25.
- Sowunmi C.O.A 1993: "A model of Heterosexual population Dynamics with Age structure and gestation period," Academy Press, Inc.
- Tchuenche J.M 2003: "A note on the Mackendrick Von Foerster type model in a population with genetic structure". *Int.J.math.Educ.Sci Technol*. Vol. 34, No. 3 pp 463-469.

Tchuenche J.M 2005: “Realistic Patterns of inheritance of Sickle-Cell Anaemia Gene: A theoretical Approach.

Tchuenche J.M 2006: “Theoretical population Dynamics Model of a genetically transmitted Disease; Bull. math. Biol.

Tchuenche J.M. 2002: Mathematical population Dynamics of Sickle- Cell Anaemia, Ph.D thesis University of Ibadan.

U.S. Census Bureau Jan 2013.

Umoh A. V, et al 2010. Haemoglobin genotypes: A prevalence study and implications for reproductive health in Uyo, Nigeria. Nigerian Journal of Medicine, Vol 19, No. 1, January – March 2010.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Rosalie A. Dance & James T. Sanderfur, 1998: A study of Sickle Cell Anaemia: A Hands on Mathematical investigation (Teacher Material).

APPENDIX

Random numbers generated by Smith's Statistical Package.

SMITH'S STATISTICAL PACKAGE																			
File Edit DescribingData Uncertainty Statistical Inference NonParametric Help																			
KRAKABR SIMULATION DATA																			
	Var1	Var2	Var3	Var4	Var5	Var6	Var7	Var8	Var9	Var10	Var11	Var12	Var13	Var14	Var15	Var16	Var17	Var18	Var19
1	59	42	4	97	70	42	3												
2	80	66	98	82	88	63	82												
3	2	98	3	74	36	56	29												
4	7	43	66	12	29	49	15												
5	52	0	24	74	94	3	31												
6	73	37	49	10	58	46	77												
7	49	31	11	54	65	35	48												
8	89	64	99	7	44	68	8												
9	76	75	45	63	86	44	70												
10	54	90	16	13	0	8	77												
11	94	50	17	64	6	86	8												
12	92	94	35	68	29	67	30												
13	40	47	79	70	26	78	36												
14	95	42	31	57	44	53	11												
15	16	48	53	35	49	70	57												
16	14	36	5	48	11	10	79												
17	26	20	39	24	5	8	15												
18	19	80	71	40	80	7	89												
19	54	0	34	32	30	88	19												
20	28	76	71	1	43	9	56												
21	66	10	86	25	90	33	27												
22	73	77	69	65	21	77	13												
23	67	87	88	29	87	20	69												
24	8	66	39	49	26	9	88												
25	16	44	54	82	29	35	70												
26	82	35	70	6	63	2	77												
27	59	82	30	64	33	67	93												
28	34	70	5	45	76	23	67												
29	38	82	44	38	10	55	21												
30	61	17	34	43	13	16	8												

Smith's Statistical Package							
File	Edit	DescribingData	Uncertainty	Statistical Inference	NonParametric	Help	
30	61	17	34	43	13	16	8
31	93	93	31	99	76	23	29
32	0	23	39	47	47	61	71
33	61	90	98	31	37	82	12
34	3	27	32	23	11	23	97
35	62	92	75	30	85	52	85
36	35	40	9	23	56	81	19
37	17	9	8	62	32	56	69
38	46	6	59	25	18	90	4
39	25	8	95	20	31	6	12
40	56	36	70	76	54	0	40
41	38	80	91	73	44	48	46
42	14	95	9	28	83	0	43
43	88	98	29	49	76	75	10
44	62	82	87	44	78	59	53
45	65	18	56	57	4	64	48
46	77	63	67	69	49	44	23
47	88	81	91	37	61	35	56
48	38	21	1	91	4	69	91
49	67	60	70	13	43	9	86
50	24	45	73	89	80	51	76
51	33	60	40	0	37	71	23
52	0	11	64	68	70	50	93
53	88	7	7	46	99	18	97
54	78	64	35	34	5	71	86
55	94	68	47	60	93	98	19
56	68	57	42	77	1	53	24
57	39	87	38	75	28	82	31
58	29	72	68	91	85	26	44
59	39	5	59	52	51	4	34
60	49	2	27	86	6	12	78
61	66	62	26	62	00	22	65

Smith's Statistical Package													
File Edit DescribingData Uncertainty Statistical Inference NonParametric Help													
61	66	63	25	52	90	72	85						
62	63	16	59	82	93	46	72						
63	94	31	22	97	78	47	75						
64	11	79	19	89	26	88	20						
65	5	47	63	11	28	85	65						
66	46	71	31	28	27	59	10						
67	81	25	20	79	93	50	66						
68	18	10	9	32	46	13	66						
69	5	78	67	94	9	54	74						
70	5	3	8	69	12	39	97						
71	27	9	71	91	76	39	39						
72	94	75	41	96	80	37	86						
73	42	54	69	14	64	42	27						
74	58	37	74	72	85	77	15						
75	87	18	21	26	49	46	84						
76	28	57	16	1	82	71	90						
77	47	86	5	98	55	48	54						
78	81	38	25	41	86	18	24						
79	89	11	84	96	65	95	83						
80	37	13	42	6	29	81	23						
81	20	51	82	90	94	77	46						
82	46	70	41	85	30	71	74						
83	14	69	61	96	86	61	48						
84	70	90	69	80	99	52	65						
85	85	78	46	96	5	69	97						
86	93	15	7	70	11	40	61						
87	2	57	38	73	33	31	47						
88	86	65	23	69	76	66	27						
89	36	95	85	58	91	94	6						
90	74	54	33	64	54	11	15						
91	64	39	37	84	36	69	64						
92	1	99	19	2	57	17	82						

Smith's Statistical Package							
File	Edit	Describing Data	Uncertainty	Statistical Inference	NonParametric	Help	
91	64	39	37	84	36	69	64
92	2	89	12	3	57	17	83
93	86	36	53	88	1	28	43
94	47	84	35	57	0	20	62
95	14	14	71	65	3	15	15
96	28	58	42	2	69	67	33
97	99	93	31	75	21	58	63
98	88	52	22	43	88	11	48
99	30	86	71	38	10	26	35
100	55	11	77	11	36	47	32
101	68	30	71	63	20	84	78
102	8	71	52	92	91	8	8
103	89	82	40	86	29	74	69
104	16	88	75	11	98	83	51
105	90	76	78	70	45	68	38
106	29	83	83	57	80	65	78
107	44	56	91	86	21	75	38
108	86	76	10	28	71	82	29
109	60	98	90	0	10	22	12
110	21	87	71	32	39	16	86
111	37	39	92	42	12	55	11
112	80	0	41	9	90	20	13
113	22	90	42	24	38	52	53
114	96	46	63	22	98	26	65
115	69	36	19	10	92	83	28
116	35	15	39	13	74	23	63
117	5	66	43	47	40	98	33
118	11	47	93	52	56	55	27
119	58	80	72	86	-54	15	58
120	74	19	12	57	17	19	67
121	64	56	39	95	63	26	42
122	02	02	02	0	22	62	20

Smith's Statistical Package							
File	Edit	DescribingData	Uncertainty	StatisticalInference	NonParametric	Help	
121	64	66	39	95	63	26	42
122	93	93	92	8	33	68	20
123	46	22	36	81	59	35	59
124	78	56	17	31	35	92	53
126	60	78	6	96	61	44	77
126	31	9	60	14	84	70	34
127	84	37	79	68	64	67	62
128	76	49	35	11	43	21	31
129	29	81	82	39	75	30	42
130	37	26	21	89	35	83	86
131	10	79	13	75	48	20	12
132	20	4	26	75	50	9	15
133	89	50	71	75	4	25	97
134	69	29	20	47	46	46	19
135	63	83	76	94	39	51	51
136	96	67	82	34	9	43	27
137	44	66	94	47	18	20	64
138	86	11	59	21	2	43	33
139	55	87	69	97	48	48	82
140	17	88	41	92	1	37	45
141	4	73	82	68	45	72	82
142	60	71	84	19	52	98	70
143	9	53	80	87	43	45	94
144	8	83	56	82	42	39	45
145	74	82	68	52	14	76	87
146	99	3	72	42	40	60	40
147	21	53	48	29	38	50	51
148	54	2	10	4	27	35	14
149	12	59	3	72	3	54	39
150	66	60	86	86	25	5	85
151	88	68	25	27	22	39	41
152	66	17	16	62	40	57	56

Smith's Statistical Package							
File	Edit	DescribingData	Uncertainty	Statistical Inference	NonParametric	Help	
151	88	68	25	27	22	39	41
152	66	17	16	63	49	57	56
153	29	75	25	96	98	85	55
154	82	77	87	83	4	15	99
155	7	45	24	1	18	89	96
156	38	73	24	4	71	6	85
157							
158							
159							
160							
161							
162							
163							
164							
165							
166							
167							
168							
169							
170							
171							
172							
173							
174							
175							
176							
177							
178							
179							
180							
181							
182							