



High Presence of Toxigenic *Aspergillus* spp. in Commercial Poultry Feeds in Ilaro, Nigeria

F. Faparusi^{*✉}, E.A. Alagamba

Department of Science Laboratory Technology, Federal Polytechnic, P. M. B. 50, Ilaro, Nigeria

HIGHLIGHTS

- All feed samples (100%) from Ilaro, Nigeria were contaminated with *Aspergillus* spp.
- Out of 93 *Aspergillus* spp. isolates, *A. flavus* had the most prevalence, while *A. parasiticus* was the least.
- Totally, 15 out of 93 (16.1%) *Aspergillus* spp. strains showed toxin production potentials.

Article type

Original article

Keywords

Animal Feed
Mycotoxins
Aspergillus
Nigeria

Article history

Received: 4 Apr 2018
Revised: 20 Jun 2018
Accepted: 13 Aug 2018

Acronyms and abbreviations

AF=Aflatoxin
PDA=Potato Dextrose Agar

ABSTRACT

Background: Several health problems may be occurred due to consumption of mycotoxin-contaminated foods and feeds. The maize and oilseeds, as the main components of poultry feeds are susceptible to mould contamination and mycotoxin production. The aim of this study was to determine the presence of toxigenic *Aspergillus* spp. in poultry feeds from Ilaro, Nigeria.

Methods: A total of 60 poultry feed samples were collected from five (A-E) feed millers in Ilaro, Nigeria. The feeds were classified into four groups, including broiler super starter, broiler starter, boiler grower mash, and broiler finisher mash. Moulds were isolated by spread plate technique and were identified using the conventional morphological method. The toxigenic potentials of the isolates were determined by ammonia vapor test. Statistical analysis was carried out using SPSS version 20.

Results: The results showed that all feed samples (100%) were contaminated with *Aspergillus* spp. Out of 93 *Aspergillus* spp. isolates, *A. flavus* (40 of 93) had the most prevalence, while *A. parasiticus* (8 of 93) was the least. Totally, 15 out of 93 (16.1%) *Aspergillus* spp. strains showed toxin production potentials.

Conclusion: The presence of toxigenic *Aspergillus* in the feed leads to the secretion of hazardous toxins especially aflatoxins which can contaminate poultry meat endangering food chain. Consequently, there is an urgent need to create more awareness on the health implications of feeding poultry with mycotoxins-contaminated feeds in this region of Nigeria.

© 2018, Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences. This is an open access article under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Introduction

Moulds are ubiquitous organisms that are found in various environments and items. Many of them are pathogenic in nature while others are saprophytes. Some moulds produce toxic secondary metabolites, especially, mycotoxins that are not essential for their normal func-

tion. Several health problems are associated with the consumption of mycotoxin-contaminated foods and feeds (Jard et al., 2011; Shephard, 2008). The high prevalence of mycotoxin-contaminated foods and feeds is due to favorable climatic and storage conditions in developing

* Corresponding author. ✉ foluso.faparusi@federalpolyilaro.edu.ng
ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-4495-7635>

To cite: Faparusi F., Alagamba E.A. (2018). High presence of toxigenic *Aspergillus* spp. in commercial poultry feeds in Ilaro, Nigeria. *Journal of Food Quality and Hazards Control*. 5: 128-133.

countries, most especially in tropical regions, that encourage fungi growth and mycotoxin development in cereal-based products (Krnjaja et al., 2008; Shephard, 2008). Human exposure to mycotoxin and mycotoxin-contaminated foods and feeds has dramatically reduced in developed countries due to the presence of well-established regulatory institutions or agencies saddled with such responsibilities (Stefi et al., 2016). However, mycotoxin-contaminated agricultural products induce serious problems in the developing countries because of over-reliance on subsistence farming and also unregulated local markets (Shephard, 2008). The extent to which mycotoxins affect health in developing countries is an important challenge to investigate due to lack of required capacity in the health sector and limited resources. Mycotoxins are produced by a wide variety of fungal species, although *Aspergillus* spp., *Penicillium* spp., and *Fusarium* spp. are the primary producers (Hathout and Aly, 2014). Toxigenic moulds produce various mycotoxins such as aflatoxins (AFs), fumonisin, deoxynivalenol, ochratoxin A, citrinin, zearalenone, and cyclopiiazonic acid (Shephard, 2008).

Aspergillus spp. produce some toxins in cereals and cereal products on the field and during storage. They are known as the source of AFs and cyclopiiazonic acid (Habib et al., 2015; Sabry et al., 2016), although not all the species exhibit this characteristic. *A. flavus* and *A. parasiticus* primarily produce AFs. There are about 20 AFs that have been reported, but AFB₁, AFB₂, AFG₁, and AFG₂ are the major ones (Dimitrieska-Stojkovic, 2018). The health consequences of AFs in contaminated foods and feeds include nutritional interference, acute illnesses, teratogenicity, cancer, immunological suppression, and death (Williams et al., 2004). On the other hand, after accumulation of AF in the body of farm animals, the toxin enters into the foods of animal origin endangering consumers' health. So, because of high toxicity and carcinogenicity of AF, its presence in the food chain is of great concern worldwide (Parviz et al., 2014).

In Nigeria, there is a concerted effort towards boosting agriculture in order to diversify the economy. The federal government provides soft loans for poultry farmers and also offers extensive services to increase their productivity. This government aspiration can only be achieved when factors militating against high yield are addressed. Feeds play a vital role in poultry yield regarding the quality of eggs and meat (Arotupin et al., 2007; Osho et al., 2007). The maize and oilseeds, as the main components of poultry feeds, are susceptible to mould contamination. There is a necessity to emphasize food safety in order to reduce the incidence of mycotoxins in foods and poultry feeds. Screening for toxigenic *Aspergillus* spp. in the poultry feeds is one of the appropriate methods for ascertaining their safety. Therefore, the current study was

designed to find the toxigenic *Aspergillus* spp. in commercial poultry feeds in Ilaro, Nigeria.

Materials and methods

Collection of poultry feed samples

A total of 60 commercial poultry feed samples were randomly collected from five feed millers (A-E) located in Ilaro, Nigeria, from May to September, 2017. The feeds were classified into four groups, including broiler super starter, broiler starter, boiler grower mash, and broiler finisher mash. Each feed miller was sampled thrice with sterile polyethene bags. Each sample was labeled accordingly, transported immediately to Microbiology Laboratory of the Department of Science Laboratory Technology, Federal Polytechnic, Ilaro and analyzed within 6 h of collection.

Isolation and morphological identification of moulds

The feed samples were aseptically plated on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA; Merck, Germany) supplemented with chloramphenicol, using spread plate technique. Briefly, the homogenate was made by transferring of 1 g sample into 9 ml sterile distilled water and thoroughly mixed using stomacher (Giorni et al., 2007). A ten-fold serial dilution was carried out, and 0.1 ml aliquots of appropriate dilutions were spread on plates containing PDA media under aseptic condition using sterile bent glass rod. The plates were subsequently incubated at 27±2 °C for 72 h in the dark. Then, the isolates were enumerated and subcultured on PDA media to obtain pure cultures. The pure cultures were maintained on PDA slants and kept in the refrigerator at 4 °C pending further analyses (Oliveira et al., 2006).

The conventional morphological method was adopted for the identification. Their cultural and microscopic characteristics were analyzed for identification of the isolates. Colonial characteristics were examined such as mycelia color and reverse color of the freshly subcultured isolates (3 days old). The mycelium was stained with lactophenol cotton-blue before microscopic observation. The microscopic properties were studied such as conidial heads, stipe, color, length, vesicles shape and serration, metulae, conidia shape as well as texture. Fungal taxonomic descriptions, identification keys, and atlas were used as references (Adeniran and Abiose, 2009; Giorni et al., 2007; Rodrigues et al., 2007; Sabry et al., 2016).

Determination of toxigenic *Aspergillus* spp.

In order to determine toxin producing *Aspergillus* spp. strains, the ammonia vapor test method was done as

described previously by Stefi et al. (2016). The organisms were centrally inoculated on PDA plates. The plates were incubated in the dark at a temperature of 27 ± 2 °C for 3-7 days. After 3 days of incubation, a set of plates were inverted over 2 ml ammonium hydroxide for 10-15 min. The second sets of the plates were also exposed to ammonia vapor after 7 days of incubation of the same duration. A change in color was used as a parameter to determine the toxigenic potentials or otherwise of the isolates. Those strains having reverse turned pink or red color were recorded as positive (toxins producing strains). However, those without color change were recorded as negative (non-toxigenic isolates).

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 20 at significant level of $p < 0.05$.

Results

The present study showed that all feed samples (100%) were contaminated with *Aspergillus* spp; totally, 93 *Aspergillus* spp. were isolated (Table 1). *A. niger*, *A. flavus*, *A. tubingensis*, and *A. parasiticus* were recorded in the poultry feeds; these four *Aspergillus* species were isolated in all the feed millers except miller B where *A. parasiticus* was not isolated. Out of 93 *Aspergillus* spp. isolates, *A. flavus* (40 of 93) had the most prevalence, while *A. parasiticus* (8 of 93) was the least.

The average loads of *Aspergillus* spp. were 5.25, 3.50, 4.25, 4.50, and 5.75 log for A, B, C, D, and E, respectively in different feed groups. The feed produced by miller E showed significantly ($p < 0.05$) higher *Aspergillus* spp. load when compared with other millers. However, there was no significant ($p > 0.05$) difference between rate of *Aspergillus* spp. among four feed groups, including broiler super starter, broiler starter, boiler grower mash, and broiler finisher mash.

The results of toxigenic potentials of the *Aspergillus* spp. associated with Ilaro commercial poultry feeds are shown in Table 2. Totally, 15 out of 93 (16.1%) *Aspergillus* spp. isolates showed toxin production potentials.

Discussion

The high contamination rates of *Aspergillus* spp. recorded in Ilaro poultry feed samples indicate probable feed contamination due to poor handling and unhygienic processing actions. This mould load also could be due to contamination of the various constituents used for the feed formulation. On the other hand, such high rate of

Aspergillus spp. contamination may be resulted from the process and post-process contaminations of the feeds. Likewise, the tropical climatic condition of Nigeria may play an essential role in the growth of mesophilic moulds. Our finding is in agreement with the work of Azarakhsh et al. (2011) that reported high incidence (92%) of *Aspergillus* spp. in Iranian broiler feeds. The high incidence of *Aspergillus* spp. (54.3%) was also observed in Serbia commercial feedstuffs (Krnjaja et al., 2008). The high *Aspergillus* spp. load recorded in our investigation is in agreement with similar studies earlier reported in other areas within and outside Nigeria (Cegielska-Radziejewska et al., 2013; Habib et al., 2015; Omojasola and Kayode, 2015; Shareef, 2010; Ukaegbu-Obi et al., 2017); however, some other researchers found *Penicillium* as the most prevalent mould genus in feed samples (Labuda and Tancinova, 2006; Oliveira et al., 2006; Stefi et al., 2016).

In the present research, the significant difference observed among the *Aspergillus* spp. loads from the various millers could be due to different sources of raw materials, process, and storage techniques. The high mould counts recorded in this study were not so surprising; the regulatory agency, saddled with such oversight responsibility, pays little attention to poultry feeds quality, but rather undue emphases are directed toward human foods. With this shortcoming, the feed millers also might not pay required attention to the quality of raw materials since it would not be consumed directly by humans. The mould loads could also be due to contamination of cereals and oilseeds that are the major constituents used for poultry feeds formulation since these agricultural products are usually dried by subsistent farmers on bare rock/floor and roadside (Habib et al., 2015). Thus, most poultry feed millers depend on these farmers for their raw materials. Such high *Aspergillus* spp. contamination recorded from miller E, could be due to a long period of storage under a poor condition as a result of low demand by poultry farmers. More so, it could be attributed to the prevailing water activity of the feed that favors the growth of the organism. The feed miller might have stored the lot in high humid environment that allows absorption of moisture. High water activity ($0.95 \leq$) has been reported to favor colonization and growth of *Aspergillus* spp. on sesame seeds by Sabry et al. (2016). Favorable temperature and humidity encourage fungal growth and mycotoxins development in feeds (Krnjaja et al., 2008).

A. niger, *A. flavus*, *A. tubingensis*, and *A. parasiticus* were the four *Aspergillus* species isolated from our poultry feed samples, and their presence could be due to the poor quality of the raw materials and condition of storage of the feeds. Our results are in agreement with findings of Habib et al. (2015) who recorded the presence of *A. fumigatus*, *A. parasiticus*, *A. flavus*, *A. niger*, and *A.*

Table 1: Frequency of *Aspergillus* spp. in poultry feed samples obtained from different millers of Ilaro, Nigeria

| Feed miller | <i>Aspergillus</i> spp. | Number (Total No. = 93) |
|-------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|
| A | <i>A. niger</i> | 4 |
| | <i>A. flavus</i> | 12 |
| | <i>A. tubingensis</i> | 2 |
| | <i>A. parasiticus</i> | 2 |
| B | <i>A. niger</i> | 4 |
| | <i>A. flavus</i> | 8 |
| | <i>A. tubingensis</i> | 2 |
| | <i>A. parasiticus</i> | 0 |
| C | <i>A. niger</i> | 3 |
| | <i>A. flavus</i> | 7 |
| | <i>A. tubingensis</i> | 7 |
| | <i>A. parasiticus</i> | 1 |
| D | <i>A. niger</i> | 3 |
| | <i>A. flavus</i> | 7 |
| | <i>A. tubingensis</i> | 6 |
| | <i>A. parasiticus</i> | 2 |
| E | <i>A. niger</i> | 4 |
| | <i>A. flavus</i> | 6 |
| | <i>A. tubingensis</i> | 10 |
| | <i>A. parasiticus</i> | 3 |

Table 2: The toxigenic potential of *Aspergillus* spp. isolated from poultry feeds samples of Ilaro, Nigeria

| <i>Aspergillus</i> spp. | Ammonia vapor test | | Toxigenic potential |
|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------|---------------------|
| | Initial color | Color change | |
| <i>A. tubingensis</i> EC | Cream | - | - |
| <i>A. flavus</i> ED | Cream | Pink | + |
| <i>A. tubingensis</i> ED | Cream | - | - |
| <i>A. tubingensis</i> DD | Cream | - | - |
| <i>A. flavus</i> EB | Cream | Pink | + |
| <i>A. tubingensis</i> DA | Cream | - | - |
| <i>A. flavus</i> EA | Cream | Pink | + |
| <i>A. tubingensis</i> BB | Cream | - | - |
| <i>A. parasiticus</i> AD | Yellow | Pink | + |
| <i>A. tubingensis</i> AD1 | Cream | - | - |
| <i>A. parasiticus</i> EC | Cream | Red | + |
| <i>A. niger</i> EB | Yellow | - | - |
| <i>A. niger</i> BB | Yellow | - | - |
| <i>A. niger</i> CC | Yellow | - | - |
| <i>A. tubingensis</i> AD2 | Cream | - | - |
| <i>A. flavus</i> DA | Cream | Deep pink | + |
| <i>A. flavus</i> DD | Cream | Deep pink | + |
| <i>A. flavus</i> CC | Cream | Pink | + |
| <i>A. flavus</i> CD | Cream | Pink | + |
| <i>A. parasiticus</i> DD | Yellow | Pink | + |
| <i>A. niger</i> AA | Yellow | - | - |
| <i>A. niger</i> DC | Yellow | - | - |
| <i>A. niger</i> ED | Yellow | - | - |
| <i>A. flavus</i> AA | Cream | Pink | + |
| <i>A. flavus</i> AD | Cream | Pink | + |
| <i>A. parasiticus</i> CC | Yellow | Pink | + |
| <i>A. parasiticus</i> EA | Yellow | Pink | + |
| <i>A. parasiticus</i> ED | Cream | Red | + |
| <i>A. niger</i> CD | Yellow | - | - |
| <i>A. niger</i> BD | Yellow | - | - |

terreus in poultry feeds from Kaduna State, Nigeria. In another study, Stefi et al. (2016) isolated *Penicillium* spp., *A. niger*, *A. fumigatus*, *A. flavus*, *Mucor* spp., *Rhizopus* spp., and *Fusarium* spp. from livestock feeds sampled from Kerala, India. This variation might be due to different types and sources of raw materials used, different climatic condition and also mode of handling the finished product; since moulds are ubiquitous organisms.

In this study, strains of *A. flavus* and *A. niger* were implicated as toxin producers which were previously recorded by some authors (Azarakshsh et al., 2011; Labuda and Tancinova, 2006; Stefi et al., 2016), and could also be due to similar climatic and storage conditions. The presence of these toxigenic organisms in the feeds makes them unsafe for the birds and will indirectly impact negatively on the health of the consumers of the poultry meat in this area (Parviz et al., 2014).

Conclusion

All the feeds obtained from the various feed millers showed the presence of toxigenic *Aspergillus* spp. at the unsafe levels. The presence of toxigenic *Aspergillus* spp. in the feeds leads to the secretion of hazardous toxins especially AFs which can contaminate poultry meat endangering food chain. Consequently, there is an urgent need to create more awareness on the health implications of feeding poultry with mycotoxins-contaminated feeds in this region of Nigeria.

Author contributions

F.F. designed the study; F.F. and A.E.A. conducted the experimental work, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. Both authors revised and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

All the authors declared that this is no conflict of interest in the study.

Acknowledgements

Special appreciate goes to the Department of Science Laboratory Technology, Federal Polytechnic Ilaro, for the provision of facilities in conducting the study. We also appreciate the contributions of our student, Miss Noimot Ayinla. The current research was self-financed.

References

- Adeniran A.H., Abiose S.H. (2009). Amylolytic potentiality of fungi isolated from some Nigerian agricultural wastes. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 8: 667-672.
- Aroutupin D.J., Kayode R.M.O., Awojobi K.O. (2007). Microbiological and physicochemical qualities of selected commercial poultry feeds in Akure, Nigeria. *Journal of Biological Sciences*. 7: 981-984.
- Azarakshsh Y., Sabokbar A., Bayat M. (2011). Incidence of the most common toxigenic *Aspergillus* species in broiler feeds in Kermanshah province, West of Iran. *Global Veterinaria*. 6: 73-77.
- Cegielska-Radziejewska R., Stuper K., Szablewski T. (2013). Microflora and mycotoxin contamination in poultry feed mixtures from western Poland. *Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine*. 20: 30-35.
- Dimitrieska-Stojkovikj E. (2018). Increases health impact of aflatoxins due to climate change: prospective risk management strategies. *Journal of Food Quality and Hazards Control*. 5: 38-39.
- Giorni P., Magan N., Pietri A., Bertuzzi T., Battilani P. (2007). Studies on *Aspergillus* section *Flavi* isolated from maize in northern Italy. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*. 113: 330-338.
- Habib M.A., Abdu P., Kwanashie C.N., Kabir J., Negedu A. (2015). Isolation and identification of *Aspergillus* species from poultry feeds in Kaduna State, Nigeria. *Microbiology Research International*. 3: 27-32.
- Hathout A.S., Aly S.E. (2014). Biological detoxification of mycotoxins: a review. *Annals of Microbiology*. 64: 905-919.
- Jard G., Liboz T., Mathieu F., Guyonvarc'h A., Lebrihi A. (2011). Review of mycotoxin reduction in food and feed: from prevention in the field to detoxification by adsorption or transformation. *Food Additives and Contaminants: Part A*. 28: 1590-1609.
- Krnjaja V., Stojanovic L.J., Cmiljanic R., Trenkovski S., Tomasevic D. (2008). The presence of potentially toxigenic fungi in poultry feed. *Biotechnology in Animal Husbandry*. 24: 87-93.
- Labuda R., Tancinova D. (2006). Fungi recovered from Slovakian poultry feed mixtures and their toxinogenicity. *Annals of Agricultural and Environmental Medicine*. 13: 193-200.
- Oliveira G.R., Ribeiro J.M., Fraga M.E., Cavaglieri L.R., Direito G.M., Keller K.M., Dalcerro A.M., Rosa C.A. (2006). Mycobiota in poultry feeds and natural occurrence of aflatoxins, fumonisins and zearalenone in the Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil. *Mycopathologia*. 162: 355-362.
- Omojasola P.F., Kayode R.M. (2015). Microbiological quality assessment and physico-chemical properties of selected poultry feeds from commercial feed millers in Ilorin, Nigeria. *International Journal of Applied Agriculture and Apiculture Research*. 11: 60-66.
- Osho I.B., Awoniyi T.A.M., Adebayo A.I. (2007). Mycological investigation of compounded poultry feeds used in poultry farms in southwest Nigeria. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 6:1833-1835.
- Parviz M., Vakili Saatloo N., Rezaei M., Rezapour I., Assadi A. (2014). Fungal contamination of feed material manufactured in Iran with emphasis on its importance in safety of animal origin foods. *Journal of Food Quality and Hazards Control*. 1: 81-84.
- Rodrigues P., Soares C., Kozakiewicz Z., Paterson R., Lima N., Vanancio A. (2007). Identification and characterization of *Aspergillus flavus* and aflatoxins. *Communicating Current Research and Educational Topics and Trends in Applied Microbiology*. 527-534.
- Sabry B.A., Hathout A.S., Nooh A., Aly S.E., Shehata M.G. (2016). The prevalence of aflatoxin and *Aspergillus parasiticus* in Egyptian Sesame seeds. *International Journal of ChemTech Research*. 9: 308-319.

- Shareef A.M. (2010). Molds and mycotoxins in poultry feeds from farms of potential mycotoxicosis. *Iraq Journal of Veterinary Sciences*. 24: 17-25.
- Shephard G.S. (2008). Impact of mycotoxins on human health in developing countries. *Food Additives and Contaminants: Part A*. 25: 146-151.
- Stefi R.V., Christo J.P., Shenpagam N.H. (2016). Mycotoxin production by fungi isolated from commercially prepared livestock feed in Kerala. *International Journal of Applied Research*. 2: 154-159.
- Ukaegbu-Obi K.M., Ukwem C.O., Amadi A.N.C. (2017). Microbiological and physicochemical qualities of selected commercially produced poultry feeds sold in Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria. *Applied Microbiology*. 3: 132.
- Williams J.H., Phillips T.D., Jolly P.E., Stiles J.K., Jolly C.M., Aggarwal D. (2004). Human aflatoxicosis in developing countries: a review of toxicology, exposure, potential health consequences, and interventions. *The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 80: 1106-1122.