

A SOCIO PHILOSOPHICAL AND POLITICAL EVALUATION OF GOVERNMENT CHALLENGES IN NIGERIA AND THE WAY FORWARD

Phillips Olubiyi and Omowunmi, Joshua Olusegun

Department of General Studies, Federal Polytechnic, Ilaro Ogun State, Nigeria

Department of Public Administration, Federal Polytechnic, Ilaro, Ogun State.

E-mail: telcomas@yahoo.co.uk

ABSTRACT

Since the attainment of independence by most African countries in 1960, regarded as the golden year of the continent the problem of leadership and issues of good governance have continued to have devastating impact on nation building in Nigeria. Indeed, with the exist of the first generation African leaders such as Kwame Nkrumah of Ghana, Julius Nyerere of Tanzania, etc. who were more foresighted and pragmatic the current political leaders, has remained problematic for Africa. This paper argues that in addition to the aforementioned problems other critical issues of ethnicity, religious crisis, boundary-border disputes inherited form the colonial era, good governance, refugee crises, election disputes, poor leadership, governance, terrorism, and the challenge of nation building have equally continued to have deteriorating and concomitant effect on the process of nation building on Nigeria especially in the 21st century.

Keywords: *Leadership Challenges, Corruption, Human Rights, Civil Strife*

INTRODUCTION

The interplay of leadership, governance and nation building is intricate and inseparable. This is so because the context of leadership, both theoretically and practically, impacts governance and reflects on nation building processes. Warren Bennis, a leading authority on leadership, once stated in his on “Becoming a Leader” (1989) that leadership is like beauty: it’s hard to define, but you know it when you see it. That could not be further from the truth. In the 1960s, West African countries such as Ghana and Nigeria had higher GDPs per capita than countries in Asia like Singapore, Taiwan, Malaysia and South Korea. In an interval of thirty

years, latter became the Asia Tigers, while the former are immersed in perennial violent wars, armed conflicts and small scale insurgencies, cross-border terrorism, unrelenting economic crises, financial corruption, Kleptomania, famine, diseases and poverty, political instability, to name a few, which have become emblems of the African continent. What made the difference? In the light of the conundrum, this paper attempts to look at how leadership and governance have interacted and the implications of their interactions on nation building within the African context.

Conceptual Issues

The three concepts that are central to his presentation are leadership, governance and nation building. What constitute the meanings of each of the concepts, both therotically and practically, are enmeshed in definitional welter. It is not within the scope of this piece to contribute to the welter or existing definitions, but to extract perspectives that will be analytically useful for the paper. In the contexts of the various theories and persuasions on what constitutes political leadership, ranging from traits theory (intelligence, courage, decisive, discipline, just, etc.) behavioral theory (authoritarian, democratic, laissez faire, etc.), contingency theory (situational, circumstances, condition, etc.), to 'New Theories of Leadership' (Hay and Hodgkinson, 2006:145), leadership comes across as a form of power, a subtle form of control, but more effective than the naked use of authority. Within the frame of the 'New Theories of Leadership', tow influential theories, charismatic leadership theory (House, 1997) and transformational leadership theory (Bass, 1985) focus on context of 'governance' and emphasized the leader's ability to cope with radical change and manage the crisis.

OBJECTIVE OF STUDY

The objective of this paper is to show that an essential element of charismatic leadership architectonics is that the charismatic leader is able to motivate subordinates to produce superior performance without the use of formal authority or power (Kirpartrick and Locke, 1996; shamir, House & Arthur, 1993). In other words, subordinates identify with the vision and accept the leader's values, personal traits or behavior as their own, and thereby experience constant and higher levels of arousal. That way, there is high tendency that followers may influence the effectiveness of charismatic leadership (Howel & Shamir, 2005). Charismatic leaders are more likely to be called upon to fix crisis and prompt change. In post-colonial Africa Nelson Mandela was an exemplar of charismatic leadership. The need for

good leaders to be ethical in their relationship as an interactive process which transforms both leaders and followers to higher levels of motivation and morality resulting in positive outcomes.

Elements of transformational leadership styles include idealized influenced (high moral values, leading by personal example, accountability and ethical standard), inspirational motivation (Strong vision, corporate (not personal) objectives, enthusiasm and confidence), intellectual stimulation' (creativity, innovation and readiness to challenge old norms) and individualized consideration', that is, interest in personal development of follower (Bass & Steidimeier, 1999; Sashkin 2004). In other words, the hallmark of transformational leadership is the extent to which the leader influences, or transforms followers through good governance, superior performance and productivity (Bass, 1998). It contrasts transactional-transformational leadership which is an anti-progress leadership (Bass, 1997). The frame chimes with Rotberg's concept of transformative political leadership', the style of leadership that comes with positive change and transformation in good governance. It is a strategy for turning visions into reality and charting a way forward to a promising future, access to improving prospects, and a programme for ensuring economic growth and material and human uplift a transformative leader help signally to guide their people into or out of poverty. Leader help to overcome geographical, climatic and resource limitations... (Rotberg, 2012:1, 7) in practice, transformational political leadership synchronizes with social justice, equity, service, fairness, collective participation and collegial leadership.

Significance of the Study

The significance of this paper is to show that the Ibrahim index of African Governance is one the world's most comprehensive ranking of African governance. It is developed under the direction of the Kennedy School of Government at Harvard University, with the help of an advisory council of African academics. The index is published annually by the Mo Ibrahim Foundation. It assesses sub-Saharan Africa's 48 nation's state against certain indicators of governance. It sponsor, Mo Ibrahim, a Sudanese cell phone entrepreneur, also created his foundation's prize, \$ 5million, dubbed The Ibrahim Prize for Achievement in African Leadership, which has been won by three African leaders. Transformational leadership stems from the stems form the servant-leadership and committed leadership paradigms, that is, leadership viewed as service to the people, and as a resolute responsibility to empower, defend, and fend for followers, not an opportunity to pursue selfish experimentations. It

transcends inept governance and selfish political pursuit, or transactional (anti-people). Since the beginning of the Fourth Republic on May 29 1999, Nigeria had continued to struggle with the delivery of democratic governance and democracy dividend – social welfare, justice, equity, and equal access to resources and power. Often times, it seem as if the transition from military to civilian rule has been simply cosmetic due to the not too impressive scorecard of civil governance thus prompting scholars to aver that Nigeria is yet to attain the status of a democracy. After a decade of the current epoch, there is the need to identify concrete challenges to democratic governance in Nigeria with a view to contextualizing the core factors that continues to obfuscate democratic governance. While not attempting to approximate all likely denigrating factors; this paper adopts the UNDP's standard characteristics of democratic governance in measuring the Nigeria and recommended accountability and ethical principles for democracy to blossom.

LITERATURE REVIEW

May 29, 1999 marked a watershed in Nigeria's political annals. It was the dawn of the fourth Republic, a return to democratic rule after several years under the yoke of military misrule which was marked by much suffering, infrastructure decay, and institutionalized corruption. The hope of the common man for a just and an egalitarian society became rekindled with the institution of a democratic government. Nigerians greeted the return to democratic rule with widespread jubilation and optimism as they looked forward to a new era of stability, peace, and prosperity. However, twelve (12) years after, Nigerians are still anxious to see and enjoy "democracy dividends" – social welfare, justice, equity, and equal access to resources and power.

A reflection on the above calls to questions certain issues that stands as challenge(s) to democratic governance in Nigeria. These issues remain critical governance problems: leadership challenges, corruption, lack of transparency, constitutional and electoral reforms, rising civil strife, poverty, unemployment, godfatherism, lack of human security and human rights. While recognizing the importance of these aforementioned problems, in this write-up, we focus on only five of the most immediate and perennial pitfalls – leadership challenges, corruption, human rights, civil strife and electoral reforms.

Governance refers broadly to the exercise of power through a country's economic, social, and political institutions in which institutions represent the organizational rules and routines, formal laws, and informal norms that together shape the incentives of public policy-makers,

overseers, and providers of public services (UNDP. 2007). Governance in Nigeria dates back to the colonial period. However, good governance is a much recent and novel idea of democratic governance that found expression in the detailed provisions of the 1979 constitutions that contained the fundamental objectives and directive principles of state policy. Good governance became the reducible criteria for assessment of government under 1999 constitution, due to the negative effect of military rule, the activities of civil society and the pressures of international financial institutions such as the World Bank, IMF and UNDP (Nwabueze, 2005). Good governance is, among other things, participatory, transparent and accountable, effective and equitable, and it promotes the rule of law. It ensures that political, social and economic priorities are based on broad consensus in society and that the voices of the poorest and the most vulnerable are heard in decision – making over the allocation of development resources.

Governance Challenges in Nigeria Leadership Challenges

Nigeria's fundamental approach to leadership is troubling. In Nigeria, the primary goal of assuming leadership position is self – enrichment. Whereas Nigeria leaders have the power to educate, inspire, and provide the people with the resources to advocate for the causes they believe in, but like bad parents, they have failed in their responsibilities to lead by good examples. In the problems with Nigeria, Chinua Achebe concludes that Nigeria's problem is bad leadership and evidence on the ground has consistently shown that he is correct. Some people however seem to disagree that leadership is not Nigeria's problem. Certainly it is leaders and not the poor rural dwellers that are responsible for Nigeria's underdevelopment. In every country, it is the responsibility of the leadership to protect the political, social, and economic interests of the citizens. Leading a country involves making policies and finding solutions to problems, ensuring stability of the polity, and guiding the society to prosperity. But a large number of the political leaders of Nigeria lack of vision, the passion, and the character to effectively govern the state and deal with the crumbling economy. They do not have a clear understanding of their responsibilities, as some of them are insensitive to the people's sufferings. Nigerians are tired of complaining to those who are leading without listening to them.

As Bell and Smith (2002) opined “leaders can point to many reasons why they aren't good listeners, but none of these reasons excuses them from the obligation..... to listen.” Leaders who listen are, however, known to respond well to criticism and crisis, build more loyalty,

and increase the morale of the followers. Listening is a way of showing that a leader cares about others. Due to the fact that power belongs to the people, no political leader in the advanced democracies would function effectively without the support of the people. Thus they constantly have their ears on the ground for information (signs of shift in public opinion) that often guides them in policy formulations and implementations. The world's leading democracies are what they are today because their leaders are innovative and always searching for solutions to their social, political and economic problems. But that is not the case with Nigeria; evidence of bad leadership is all over the landscape as the leaders have not gone beyond a promise for change. They are only good at drumming the country's problems without finding their solutions. The institutions and infrastructure that are the bedrock of the economy are allowed to rot away leading to weak economy, rising unemployment and inflation, poverty and crime. The major challenge of democratic governance in Nigeria lies in the process for electing public officers into leadership positions. The president and vice president at the federal level; the Governor and Deputy Governor at the state level; the chairman and councilor at the local government level; and all the members of the legislative houses national assembly, senate and house of assembly, and legislative councils of the local governments – are all by elections. However, the electoral process and political party system are all corruption ridden and not sufficiently participatory (Azinge, 2004).

Corruption

The greater democratic challenge facing Nigeria is “corruption” Corruption has, among other been defined as an act of requesting, offering, giving or accepting directly or indirectly a bribe or any other undue advantage or the prospect thereof, which distorts the proper performance of any duty or behavior required of the recipient of the bribe, the undue advantage of the prospect thereof” (Kofele-Kale 2006). In line with the above, Olu – Adeyemi (2004) argued that in Nigeria, an understanding of what constitutes corruption transcends officialdom and discussing corruption broadly as a perversion or a change from good to bad will not be inappropriate. Also citizens thrill at the non – enforcement of the rules that define the institutional basis of social, political and economic exchange, as corruption has become the dominant social norm and reflections on the first four decades of political independence no doubt indicate that citizens themselves are instrumental to the national decay, however, they are receptive to corrupt friendly regimes, thus, celebrating the potent force of mal-governance and underdevelopment’ (Olu – Adeyemi, 2004). Unfortunately, after years of independence,

Nigerians still harbor the mentality that public money belongs to no one and that any person who has access to it should convert it into his or her personal use corruption permeates every sector of the Nigeria society, “from millions of scam e-mail messages sent each year by people claiming to be Nigerian official seeking help with transferring large sums of money out of the country, to the police officers who routinely set up roadblocks, sometimes every few hundred yards, to extract bribes of 20naira, about 15 cents, from drivers” (Polygreen 2005). However, the most disturbing and damaging form of corruption is made manifest in the succession of Kleptocratic governments, which has produced extremely wealthy generals and political leaders. Similarly, electoral corruption is prevalent. This is a situation that include the purchase of votes with money, promises of office or special favors, coercion, intimidation, and interference with freedom of elections, sale of votes, killing and maiming of people in the name of election, and a situation where losers’ end up as the winners in elections, and votes turn up in area where votes were not cast. Apart from the above, Nigeria manifests the prevalence of prebendalism (client patronage) and all of these have undermined democratic governance in the country.

Human rights

Prior the return of civilian rule in May 1999, the human rights situation in Nigeria was very poor. The esteemed Nobel laureate, Prof. Wole Soyinka once remarked that “I smell the sperm of tyranny before the rape of the nation”, (CDHR, 2001) in a bid to describe the excruciating human rights situation in Nigeria during the dark days of military rule. At present, the situation has improved but the drawbacks and ineptitude of the long military interregnum still affects the human rights situation. This is because democracy has only succeeded in revealing the rot of human rights situation. At present, fundamental rights of citizens still come under attack despite the advent of democracy. For instance, the protection and enjoyment of the right to life and respects for human dignity is hampered by the non-availability of the necessary socio-economic infrastructures that can guarantee the realization and fulfilment of such rights. According to the CDHR report on Human Rights (IBID), “the traditional means by which the Nigerian rights to life and respect for human dignity were abused are: death penalty, extra-judicial killings including assassinations; arbitrary arrests and detention; disappearances; avoidable disaster and the poor administration of out prison system.” Apart from the above,” a new dimension has emerged and this have to do with the individuals and groups who were dissatisfied with the ineptitude of the state functionaries and the brazen killings and maiming of Nigerians by unconventional security organizations who

hijacked the duty of maintaining laws and order from the Nigerian police and assumed the business of protecting lives and properties in various parts of Nigeria Extra judicial killings have also increased in the land against one of the twin pillars of natural justice, which requires that a person must be heard before any punitive measure can be taken against him. The Nigerian took unenviable lead in the killings and brutalities that took place across Nigeria and the truth in most case is that the rights of Nigerians (many of them mere suspects) were violated. Police and military task force brutality have also increased and the rights of innocent Nigerians are trampled upon whether in their homes, highways, offices or public places. Although the military has gone back to the barracks for well over ten years, the human right situation has not really improved. It still presents the recurrent anomalies of extra-judicial killings, arbitrary arrest and detention without trial, torture and degrading treatment, which are perpetually on a daily basis.

Civil Strife

Nigeria is the most populous country in Africa with a diverse cultural heritage. The country has a population of about 140 million with not less than 250 ethnic groups. After several years of military dictatorship, Nigeria found herself again in the mainstream of civil governance. While this development was seen by some people as an avenue to explore dividends and goodies of democracy, others saw it as an opportunity to express grievances. This had re-affirmed the poser that over the past several decades, Nigerians have sought to build a stable multiethnic nation. However, nation building has been complicated by Nigerians tremendous diversities, thus, making the management of diversities to be more central than ever as a problem in Nigerians political process. The interactions within the nationalism and recurrent skirmishes which have greatly increased since the return to civil rule in 1999. Since the re-emergence of democracy in May 1999, not less than one hundred politically, ethnically and religiously motivated conflicts have occurred in Nigeria. The democratic opening present by Nigerians successful transition to civil rule in May 1999 unleashed a host of hitherto repressed or dormant political forces. Unfortunately, it has become increasingly difficult to differentiate between genuine demands by these factors on the state and outright criminality and mayhem. Post – transition Nigeria is experiencing the rise of conflicts borne out of various agitations by a plethora of movements purportedly representing, and seeking to protect, their ethnic, political or religious interests in a country which appears incapable or providing the basic welfare needs to its citizens. Different reasons and circumstances leads to these conflicts and violence in Nigeria. Some of the reasons are

the weak character of the Nigerian state and the inability of its equally weak institutions to engender order and security (Ayodele, 2004); poverty, military intervention in politics, citizen's apathy to the state, elitist greed and manipulation (Olu-Adeyemi, 2008) and Land, space and resource availability, Jurisdictional disputes between Monarchs, disregard for cultural symbols and pollution of cultural practices (Adeniji, 2003).

Research Methodology

The materials used for this paper were sourced from the past and present descriptive literature including publications from newspaper, journals, magazines, and the internet which serves as qualitative and analytical foundation for the paper.

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

For democratic governance to thrive in Nigeria, the people must be vigilant and demand accountability from the leaders. It has been proven that the strength of a democracy is only as great as the will of the people to uphold it. For all these to be possible the citizens must be politically educated and mature. This would enable the future leaders to make ethical decisions and for the people to begin make political office holders accountable while within and outside office. According to Mahatma Gandhi, Politics without ethical principles is among the social sins of humankind. Nigeria has the potential (human and material resources) to translate to a great democracy if the politicians (and the people) can change their mind-sets and learn to play ethical politics that adds good value to the system. This entails a paradigm shift in the manner in which Nigeria is governed. As Albert Einstein has noted, the specific problems we face today cannot be solved at the same level of thinking we were at when we created them. The political leaders should do more and talk less.

At the moment, there is no mechanical formula to reverse the ethical leadership crisis. Even so, the rarity of first-rate exemplars of good leadership and governance need not suggest that effective, popular leadership cannot flourish in Africa. Like Mr. Tony Blair, a former British Prime Minister, founder and patron of Africa Governance Initiative (AGI) affirms, I believe that: Africa can become in this century an engine of prosperity as powerful as Asia became in the last but it will only become a reality in Africa's leaders are able to drive the reforms their countries need, and their governments have the capacity to deliver them. For a new generation of African leaders, this means that having a clear vision for their country is not enough. They also need to transform the capacity of their government to deliver it. Aids alone is not the answer. Ultimately, Africa's future lies with the decisions of Africa's leaders (Blair,

AG1:3 & 9). This is a tall order for contemporary Africa. Ethical leadership and committed governance will only emerge as the result of a tough and often conflict-ridden process of institutional design (Ackerman 2004:448), which is the essence of African renaissance.

REFERENCES

- Adeniji, A. (2003) Conflict Management and peaceful Co-Existence in a Democratic and Plural Society: The Nigeria Example in the Constitution: *A journal of constitutional Development, Centre for Constitutionalism and Demilitarization (CENCOD)*
- Agbese, Dan, (2000), Fellow Nigerians: Turning Points in the Political History of Nigeria, Ibadan, Nigeria: *Umbrella Books*.
- Agulanna, C, (2006), Democracy and the crisis of leadership in Africa The Journal of Social, Political, and Economic Studies, 31, (3), 255-264.
- Albert, O, (2011), Pinched, Ditched or Jinxed? The Mantra of African Solutions to African Problems: *An inaugural Lecture*, University of Ibadan.
- Annual Report on the Human Rights situation in Nigeria (2001), CDHR, Lagos
- Atte, B,E & Akindele, R.A (2001), The ECOMOG Concept: Enhancing regional capacity for conflict and security management in the 21st century, Beyond Conflict Resolution Managing Africa *Development in the 21st Century, Lagos and Ibadan: NIIA and Vantage Publishers, 111-128.*
- Ayodele, B, Agagu, A.A & Ola, R.F (2004). The Nigerian State and Conflict Management in the nascent Democratic Project in (eds) *Development Agenda of the Nigerian state, Ibadan: FIAG Publishers.*
- Azinge, E (2004) Law, Money and Politics, Epiphany Press.
- Bell, H. and Dayle M. Smith (2002); Developing Leadership Abilities, Ohio: Prentice Hall.
- Kofele-Kale (2006). The International Law of Responsibility for Economic Crimes: Holding State Officials individually Liable for Acts of Fraudulent Enrichment; Hampshire, England: Ashgate Publishing Ltd
- Nwabueze, B.O. (2005) Constitutional Democracy in Africa Vol. 4
- Olu – Adeyemi, O (2005) Corruption and the deepening Crisis of Nigeria's Economic and National Development" *Journal of Economic and Financial Studies, Department of Economics, Banking and Finance, Adekunle Ajasin University, Akungba Akoko, Ondo State, Nigeria, Vol. 1. No.2.*

- Olu-Adeyemi, O. (2008) Ethno-National Identities and the crisis of Nation Building in Nigeria, Unpublished PhD Thesis
- Rotberg, R, (2004), 'Africa: Side by Side... But in Starkly Different World', *Los Angeles Times*, July 4
- Rotberg, R, (2009), Governance and leadership in Africa: Measures, methods and results', *Journal of International Affairs*, Spring/Summer 2009, Vol. 62. No. 2, pp. 113-126
- Rotberg, R. (2003), the roots of Africa's leadership deficit'. *Compass: A journal of Leadership*, 1, pp. 28-32.
- Rotberg, R. (2012). Transformative Political Leadership: Making a Difference in the World, London and Chigago: the university of Chicago press.
- Sahni, P, (2003). Introduction, in Sahni, P and Medury, U (eds.), Governance for Development: *Issues and Strategies*, New Delhi: Prentice – Hall of India.
- UNDP (2007) Governance for Sustainable Human Development, A UNDP Policy Paper.s